UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/649,087	08/26/2003	Ramanan V. Chebiam	42P13235D2	4929
	0.7.	7590 01/25/2007 LY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN		EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD		LAVILLA, MICHAEL E			
	SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			1775	
_					
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	3 MO	NTHS	01/25/2007	PAP	ER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		10/649,087	CHEBIAM ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Michael La Villa	1775
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·		
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Oc	ctober 2006.	•
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.	•
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 13,15-17,19,20 and 32-36 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) 32-36 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 13 and 20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 15-17 and 19 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
	on Papers	'	
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 August 2003</u> is/are:		o by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) 🔲 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment	(a)		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform Paper	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite

Application/Control Number: 10/649,087 Page 2

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 2. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public
 use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United
 States.
- 4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shirahata et al. USPN 4,893,404. Shirahata et al. teaches copper-containing studs that are coated with wiring pattern and NiB layers. The amount of B is 0.9% which is encompassed by the claimed "about 0.01" requirement. Either the stud or the wiring pattern may be identified with the claimed "pad." See Shirahata et al. (Abstract; Figure 1; and col. 6, line 30 through col. 7, line 30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,087

Art Unit: 1775

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claims 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuki et al. USPA 2002/0121709. Matsuki et al. teaches a pad that is coated with a NiCu alloy-containing layer and suggests that the pad may be copper and that the NiCu alloy may comprise B in the claimed amounts. See Matsuki et al. (paragraphs 87-102; and Claims 14, 16, and 21). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the NiCu alloy with B in the claimed amounts on a copper pad as Matsuki teaches that such laminates are effective.

Response to Amendment

8. In view of applicant's amendments and arguments, the claim objection and the section 112, second paragraph rejection of the Office Action mailed on 26 July 2006 are overcome and therefore withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 9. Claims 32-36 are allowed.
- 10. Claims 15-17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 11. The subject matter of Claims 15-17, 19, and 32-36 is not taught or suggested by the reviewed prior art. Particularly, the claimed alloys, electrolessly deposited on copper pads, are not taught or suggested.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/649,087

Art Unit: 1775

Conclusion

Page 4

- 12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 13. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer McNeil can be reached on (571) 272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/649,087

Page 5

Art Unit: 1775

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael La Villa 20 January 2007

WICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINED